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Impacts on Bank and Thrift Holding Companies
Impacts on Bank Holding Companies

• New Requirements (To Be Discussed)
  – Capital
  – 10% nationwide liability cap; other M&A rules

• Examination of Non-Depository Subsidiaries
  – Repeal of limits on “Functionally Regulated” Subs
  – Requirement to examine certain Non-Functionally Regulated Subs; Back-up Authority

• Risk Committees
  – Required of all publicly traded BHCs with consolidated assets ≥ $10 billion
Impacts on Bank Holding Companies

• Stress Tests
  – Annual stress tests for all BHCs with consolidated assets > $10 billion
  – Semi-annual for BHCs with consolidated assets ≥ $50 billion

• FDIC back-up examination and enforcement authority

• BHC-specific restrictions/requirements applicable to BHCs designated as systemically significant (SSFCs).
Impacts on Thrift Holding Companies: Demise of the OTS

- Transfer Date – 7/21/11 (extendable to 1/21/12)

- OTS abolished
  - 90 days after the Transfer Date
  - OCC to have new Deputy Comptroller responsible for supervision and regulation of thrifts

- Transfer of OTS powers and duties
  - FRB. Supervision and rulemaking over thrift holding companies
  - OCC. Supervision over federal thrifts; rulemaking (with certain exceptions) over all thrifts
  - FDIC. Supervision over state thrifts
Impacts on Thrift Holding Companies

• New Capital Requirements

• FRB supervision and examination
  – HOLA still applies
  – Study regarding elimination of BHCA exception
  – With FRB as regulator, is BHCA de facto supervisory standard?

• “Source of Strength”

• Stress tests
M&A Limitations

• FRB approval of certain non-bank financial companies
  – Applies if assets to be acquired > $10B
  – Exemptions

• Overall entity must not exceed 10% of aggregate liabilities of all financial companies
Volcker Rule
The Volcker Rule – In Brief

“Unless otherwise provided in this section, a banking entity shall not –

(A) engage in proprietary trading; or

(B) acquire or retain any equity, partnership, or other ownership interest in or sponsor a hedge fund or a private equity fund.”
Volcker Rule

• Scope – “Banking Entities”
  – Virtually all insured banks or thrifts
  – The companies that control them
  – Their affiliates and subsidiaries
  – Foreign banks with U.S. banking presence

• Timing
  – **Effective Date**: Earlier of 12 months after issuance of final rules, and 2 years after date of enactment
  – **Transition Period**: Bank entities must comply within 2 years after effective date (2014)
  – **Extensions**: Available at FRB discretion
Volcker Rule

• Proprietary Trading:
  – “engaging as principal for the trading account” of the banking entity to purchase, sell, or otherwise acquire or dispose of any security, derivative, future, option, or other financial instrument, as determined by the agencies’ rules

• Trading Account:
  – an account used “principally for the purpose of selling in the near term (or otherwise with the intent to resell in order to profit from short-term price movements),” and any other such accounts as determined by the agencies’ rules
Volcker Rule

• Private Equity and Hedge Funds – Scope:

  – Any issuer that would be an investment company as defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 but for the exemptions provided by under Sections 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of that Act.

  – And “similar” funds as may be determined by the agencies by regulation.
Volcker Rule

• Private Equity and Hedge Funds – Prohibitions:

  – **No investing:** acquiring any “equity, partnership, or other ownership interest in” a private equity or hedge fund

  – **No sponsoring:**
    – serving as a general partner, managing member, or trustee of a fund;
    – selecting or controlling (or having employees, officers, directors or agents who constitute) a majority of the directors, trustees, or management of the fund; or
    – sharing the same name or a variation of the same name with a fund.
Volcker Rule

• Private Equity and Hedge Funds – Affiliate transaction rules for sponsored and advised/managed funds
  
  – No 23A “covered transactions” (e.g. loans, purchases of assets or securities; prime brokerage exception)
  
  – Other transactions on market terms (what would be offered to an unaffiliated fund)
Volcker Rule

Permitted Activities:

– U.S., agency, GSE, state/municipal securities
– “On behalf of customers”
– Underwriting and market making
– Risk-mitigating hedging
– Foreign banking activities “solely outside the U.S.”
– Regulated insurance company – “for the general account”
– SBICs and public welfare investments (12 U.S.C. 24)
– Other activities agencies determine promote safety and soundness
Volcker Rule

Permitted Activities:

• Fiduciary and De Minimis for Private Equity/Hedge Funds
  – 3% ownership of a fund
  – Aggregate 3% of entity’s tier 1 capital
  – Other restrictions
Volcker Rule

Limitations on Permitted Activities – They may not:

(i) involve a material conflict of interest with clients, customers, or counterparties;

(ii) result in a material exposure to high-risk assets or trading strategies;

(iii) pose a threat to the safety and soundness of the banking entity or to U.S. financial stability.
Post-Enactment Regulation and the Volcker Rule

• **October 1**: FSOC seeks public input on study concerning implementation of Volcker Rule

• Study seeks comment on Volcker Rule and
  – bank safety and soundness
  – institutional risk mitigation
  – limitation of capital transfers between regulated and unregulated entities
  – conflicts of interest between banks and nonbanks
  – insurance industry and financial stability
  – divestiture of illiquid assets by financial institutions after implementation of Volcker Rule.

• Comment period closed November 5
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